

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Son 1450
Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 6394 IT-273 Lutz Melchior 06/28/2001 09/894,675 7590 05/30/2003 EXAMINER LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. PATENT ATTORNEYS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW WOOD, KEVIN S Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33020-2480 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2874

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/894,675	MELCHIOR ET AL.	
		Art Unit	\neg
Office Action Summary	Examiner Kayin S Wood	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kevin S Wood	correspondence address	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app eriod for Reply	Jeans on the core, energy	I(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be of ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON ng date of this communication, even if timely fil	lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.	
Becomesive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u>March 2003</u> .		
		prosecution as to the merits is	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	wance except for formal matters or Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Claime			
	cawn from consideration.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi	Idani ilolli ooliolasiatio		
5) 54 Claim(s) 20-23 25 and 33 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10,15-19,24,26,27,31 and 35</u>	, <u>or</u> 10, at 0 10,000.		
and 16 is/are objected to	J.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	WOL GIEGUOTI TOMATIONIA		
Application Papers	, niner		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examinute 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2001 is/are:		by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2001 is/are. Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	IS. a) L approve = ") L	approved by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Office action.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
440 420			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to	·		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	nents have been received.		•
		plication No	
: of tho	S priority documents have been .	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the internal	Cube podified copies not f	receiveu.	Ju)
l a se a claim for doi	mestic priority under 30 3.3.5.5	•	י(ווט.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language	ge provisional application has be	en received.	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	•		
Attachment(s)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-9-1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patentent(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	948) 5) Notice of I No(s) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-132)	
	Office Action Summary	ian Healy Part of Paper No. 15 any Experiment	

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is responsive to amendment C filed 3/11/03. Claims 29, 32 and 34 have been canceled. New claims 35-37 have been added. Claims 1-5, 7-27, 31, 33 and 35-37 are now pending in the application.
- 2. Based on the applicant's amendment, the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is now withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 6-19, 24, 26, 27 and 31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as being informal. The informal drawings are of sufficient quality to permit examination. Accordingly, new drawings when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/894,675

Art Unit: 2874

6. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 31, 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,285,571 to Winzer.

Referring to claims 1, 31 and 35, Winzer discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention. Winzer discloses a branching device including: a first optical waveguide section (1); a second optical waveguide section (1'); a first glass ferrule (3); a second glass ferrule (3'); and at least one optical component (10). See Fig. 1 and its respective portion of the specification.

Referring to claims 4 and 5, Winzer discloses that the inclined end surface of the first waveguide section (1) and the inclined end surface of the second waveguide section (1') are coplanar and are essentially 45 degrees with respect to the optical axis of the waveguide sections. See Fig. 1 and its respective portion of the specification.

Referring to claims 7, 10 and 37, Winzer discloses a mounting tube (2,2') receiving the first glass ferrule (3) and the second glass ferrule (3'). See Fig. 1 and its respective portion of the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2874

8. Claims 2, 3, 17-19, 24, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,285,571 to Winzer in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,701,012 to Kaiser.

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Winzer discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except Winzer does not appear to disclose that the beam divider means is a wavelength selective filter. Kaiser discloses a similar device that includes wavelength selective filters (101,102,103,104) between waveguide sections for the purpose of reflecting a single selected wavelength onto each component (24,25,26,27,28) and allowing the signal with in the waveguide to be demultiplexed. Since Winzer and Kaiser are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Kaiser would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Winzer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a wavelength selective filter as the beam divider means within the device of Kaiser for the purpose of demultiplexing the signal by reflecting only a single selected wavelength onto the component.

Referring to claims 17-19, Winzer discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except Winzer does not appear to disclose a plurality of waveguide sections having inclined surfaces. Kaiser discloses a similar device that includes a plurality of wavelength selective filters (101,102,103,104) between waveguide sections for the purpose of reflecting a single selected wavelength onto each component (24,25,26,27,28) and allowing the signal with in the waveguide to be demultiplexed. Since Winzer and Kaiser are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose

Art Unit: 2874

disclosed by Kaiser would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Winzer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a multiple wavelength selective filters and multiple receiving components within the device of Kaiser for the purpose of demultiplexing the signal by reflecting only a single selected wavelength into each component.

Referring to claim 24, 26 and 27, Winzer discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except Winzer does not appear to disclose the use of a coupling lens between the inclined surface and the optical component. Kaiser discloses the use of lenses (12-16) between the filters and the optical components for the purpose of efficiently coupling the light reflected from the filter onto the corresponding optical component. Since Winzer and Kaiser are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Kaiser would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Winzer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinarily skill at the time the invention was made to utilize a lens between the beam dividing means and the optical component, for the purpose efficiently coupling the light reflected by the beam dividing means onto the optical component.

9. Claims 15 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,285,571 to Winzer.

Referring to claim 15, Winzer discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except Winzer does not specifically disclose an immersion means filling a gap between the first and second waveguides, where the index of refraction of the immersion means matches the index of refraction of the waveguides. It would have

Art Unit: 2874

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to fill any gaps between the optical fibers with an index matching material since it is known within the art that providing an index matching material between coupled waveguides minimizes optical losses and increases coupling efficiency.

Referring to claim 36, Winzer discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except Winzer does not specifically disclose that the filter layers are a 50/50 beam splitter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use a 50/50 beam splitter since it was known in the art that a 50/50 beam splitter divides an signal into two identical optical signals.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 20-23, 25 and 33 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 8, 9, 11-14 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Referring to claims 8 and 16, the prior art does not disclose all the limitations of the claimed device. The prior art does not appear to disclose the device as claimed, including a radial opening for the insertion of an immersion means.

Referring to claim 9, the prior art does not disclose all the limitations of the claimed device. The prior art does not appear to disclose the device as claimed,

Art Unit: 2874

including a semiconductor pack for mounting on a printed circuit board, the optical component located in the semiconductor pack.

Referring to claims 11-14, the prior art does not disclose all the limitations of the claimed device. The prior art does not appear to disclose the device as claimed, including a holder, where the mounting tube has a fixing structure for fixing the mounting tube onto the holder.

Referring to claims 20-23, the prior art does not disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention. The prior art does not disclose an electro-optical module for transmitting and/or receiving light of a plurality of optical data channels as claimed, including a TO-can holding the optical component.

Referring to claims 25 and 33, the prior art does not disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention. The prior art does not disclose the device as claimed, including the first optical waveguide core being larger than the core of the second optical waveguide.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,499,309 to Kozuka et al.

U.S. Patent No. 4,165,496 to Ki Domenico, Jr. et al.

Each of these references discloses a device that is similar to that of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2874

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S Wood whose telephone number is (703) 605-5296. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B Bovernick can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 307-0956.

KSW May 22, 2003

> Brian Healy Primary Examiner